Appendix A ELPS Faculty Evaluation Rubric

Assistant Professor

1: Unsatisfactory	2: Low (Below average)	3: Expected (Average)	4: High (Above average)	5: Outstanding (Excellent)			
	Teaching/Advising						
Faculty member meets two or fewer of the expectations outlined in the cell to the right of column.	Less than acceptable teaching as evidenced by at least three or fewer of the following: Development of syllabi for all assigned courses Average ratings (less than 3.0) on student evaluations across half of courses Available to advise students, as documented in candidate's summary Participation in curriculum development at the program- or department-level Service on	Teaching/Advising Good teaching as evidenced by at least three of the following: Development of well organized, comprehensive syllabi for all assigned courses Consistently average ratings (at least 3.50) on student evaluations across a majority of courses Available to advise students, as demonstrated in candidate's summary Participation in curriculum development at the	Excellent teaching as evidenced by at least four of the following: Development of well organized, comprehensive syllabi for all assigned courses Adoption of innovative instructional strategies, as documented in candidate's summary Consistently above average ratings (at least 3.75) on student evaluations across a majority of courses Consistently	Superior teaching as evidenced by at least five of the following: Development of well organized, comprehensive syllabi for all assigned courses Development of "new" course(s) or significant redesign of existing course(s) for programs in ELPS Adoption of innovative instructional strategies, as documented in candidate's summary Consistently			
	master's/specialist	program- or	available to advise	superior ratings			
	or doctoral	department-level	students, as	(above 4.0) on			
	committees	□ Service on	documented in	student			
		master's/specialist	candidate's	evaluations across			

or doctoral	summary a majority of
committees	□ Other classes taught
	documentation of during evaluation
	excellent teaching period
	such as evidence Directs curriculum
	of student development
	outcomes (e.g., and/or
	student implementation
	presentations or design, such as
	publications) that curriculum audits
	emanate from at the program- or
	teaching activities department-level
	□ Service on □ Consistently
	master's/specialist available to advise
	and/or doctoral students, as
	committees. documented in
	□ Chair candidate's
	master's/specialist summary
	and/or doctoral □ Other
	committees. documentation of
	□ Participation in superior teaching
	curriculum such as evidence
	development at the of student
	program- or outcomes (e.g.,
	department-level student
	presentations or
	publications) that
	emanate from
	teaching activities
	□ Service on
	master's/specialist
	and/or doctoral
	committees.

				 □ Chair master's/specialist and/or doctoral committees. □ Service as coordinator of degree program
		Scholarship/Creativity	T =	
Faculty member meets less than one of the expectations outlined in the cell to the right of column	Contributions to the professional literature as evidenced by one of the following, for example: Author of 1 peerreviewed publication (with or without collaborators) or equivalent (e.g., completion of book chapter) At least 1 local, state, regional, or national presentation	Worthy contributions to the professional literature as evidenced by two of the following, for example: Contributing author for the development of at least one internal or external research grant Author of at least 2 peer-reviewed publications (with or without collaborators) or equivalent (e.g., completion of book chapter) At least 2 local, state, regional, or national presentations	Exceptional contributions to the professional literature as evidenced by two of the following, for example: Initiates/submits application for at least one internal or external research grant Author of at least 2 peer-reviewed publications (with or without collaborators) or equivalent (e.g., completion of book chapter) at least one of which is a primary authorship At least 2 national presentations, and a third local, state,	Extraordinary contributions to the professional literature as evidenced by two of the following, for example: Initiates/submits application for at least two internal or external grant or serves as principal investigator of grant Author of 3 or more peer- reviewed publications (with or without collaborators) or equivalent (e.g., completion of book chapter, chapter in research handbook,

			regional, or national presentations	etc.), at least one of which is a sole authorship At least 2 national, plus 2 local, state, regional, or national presentations Substantial work on technical report or scientific abstract
		Service		
Faculty member meets less than one of the expectations outlined in the cell to the right of column	A record of service as evidenced by one of the following: Involvement in department, college, university, OR community service Service to at least 1 state, regional, or national organization OR professional associations Serve as a reviewer for at least one regional or national journal OR one national	A record of service as evidenced by two of the following: Involvement in department, college, university, OR community service Service to at least 1 state, regional, or national organization OR professional associations Serve as a reviewer for at least one regional or national journal OR one national	Substantial service involvement as evidenced by three of the following: Leadership in department, college, university OR community service Leadership in or service to at least 2 state, regional, or national organizations OR professional associations Serve as a reviewer for at least one regional,	Exemplary service involvement as evidenced by four of the following: Leadership in department, college, university OR community service Leadership in or service to at least 2 state, regional, or national organizations OR professional associations Serve as a reviewer for at least one regional,

organization conference	organization conference	national or international journal <u>OR</u> one	national or international journal <u>OR</u> one
		national organization	national organization
		conference	conference

Associate Professor

1: Unsatisfactory	2: Low (Below average)	3: Expected (Average)	4: High (Above average)	5: Outstanding (Excellent)
		Teaching/Advising		
Faculty member meets two or fewer of the expectations outlined in the cell to the right of column.	Less than acceptable teaching as evidenced by at least three or fewer of the following: Development of syllabi for all assigned courses Average ratings (less than 3.0) on student evaluations across half of courses Available to advise students, as documented in candidate's summary Participation in curriculum development at the program- or department-level Service on	Good teaching as evidenced by at least three of the following: Development of well organized, comprehensive syllabi for all assigned courses Consistently average ratings (at least 3.50) on student evaluations across a majority of courses Available to advise students, as demonstrated in candidate's summary Participation in curriculum development at the	Excellent teaching as evidenced by at least four of the following: Development of well organized, comprehensive syllabi for all assigned courses Adoption of innovative instructional strategies, as documented in candidate's summary Consistently above average ratings (at least 3.75) on student evaluations across a majority of courses Consistently available to advise	Superior teaching as evidenced by at least five of the following: Development of well organized, comprehensive syllabi for all assigned courses Development of "new" course(s) or significant redesign of existing course(s) for programs in ELPS Adoption of innovative instructional strategies, as documented in candidate's summary Consistently superior ratings
	master's/specialist or doctoral	program- or department-level	students, as	(above 4.0) on
	committees	□ Service on	documented in	student
	00111111111000	master's/specialist	candidate's	evaluations across

or doctoral	summary a majority of
committees	□ Other classes taught
	documentation of during evaluation
	excellent teaching period
	such as evidence Directs curriculum
	of student development
	outcomes (e.g., and/or
	student implementation
	presentations or design, such as
	publications) that curriculum audits
	emanate from at the program- or
	teaching activities department-level
	□ Service on □ Consistently
	master's/specialist available to advise
	and/or doctoral students, as
	committees. documented in
	□ Chair candidate's
	master's/specialist summary
	and/or doctoral □ Other
	committees. documentation of
	□ Participation in superior teaching
	curriculum such as evidence
	development at the of student
	program- or outcomes (e.g.,
	department-level student
	presentations or
	publications) that
	emanate from
	teaching activities
	□ Service on
	master's/specialist
	and/or doctoral
	committees.

				 □ Chair master's/specialist and/or doctoral committees. □ Service as coordinator of degree program
		Scholarship/Creativity	T =	
Faculty member meets less than one of the expectations outlined in the cell to the right of column	Contributions to the professional literature as evidenced by one of the following, for example: Author of 1 peerreviewed publication (with or without collaborators) or equivalent (e.g., completion of book chapter) At least 1 local, state, regional, or national presentation	Worthy contributions to the professional literature as evidenced by two of the following, for example: Contributing author for the development of at least one internal or external research grant Author of at least 2 peer-reviewed publications (with or without collaborators) or equivalent (e.g., completion of book chapter) At least 2 local, state, regional, or national presentations	Exceptional contributions to the professional literature as evidenced by two of the following, for example: Initiates/submits application for at least one internal or external research grant Author of at least 2 peer-reviewed publications (with or without collaborators) or equivalent (e.g., completion of book chapter) at least one of which is a primary authorship At least 2 national presentations, and a third local, state,	Extraordinary contributions to the professional literature as evidenced by two of the following, for example: Initiates/submits application for at least two internal or external grant or serves as principal investigator of grant Author of 3 or more peer- reviewed publications (with or without collaborators) or equivalent (e.g., completion of book chapter, chapter in research handbook,

			regional, or national presentations	etc.), at least one of which is a sole authorship At least 2 national, plus 2 local, state, regional, or national presentations Substantial work on technical report or scientific abstract
		Service		
Faculty member meets less than one of the expectations outlined in the cell to the right of column	A record of service as evidenced by one of the following: Involvement in department, college, university, OR community service Service to at least 1 state, regional, or national organization OR professional associations Serve as a reviewer for at least one regional or national journal OR one national	A record of service as evidenced by two of the following: Involvement in department, college, university, OR community service Service to at least 1 state, regional, or national organization OR professional associations Serve as a reviewer for at least one regional or national journal OR one national	Substantial service involvement as evidenced by three of the following: Leadership in department, college, university OR community service Leadership in or service to at least 2 state, regional, or national organizations OR professional associations Serve as a reviewer for at least one regional,	Exemplary service involvement as evidenced by four of the following: Leadership in department, college, university OR community service Leadership in or service to at least 2 state, regional, or national organizations OR professional associations Serve as a reviewer for at least one regional,

organization conference	organization conference	national or international journal <u>OR</u> one	national or international journal <u>OR</u> one
		national organization	national organization
		conference	conference

Full Professor

1: Unsatisfactory	2: Low (Below average)	3: Expected (Average)	4: High (Above average)	5: Outstanding (Excellent)			
	Teaching/Advising						
Faculty member meets two or fewer of the expectations outlined in the cell to the right of column.	Less than acceptable teaching as evidenced by at least three of the following: Development of syllabi for all assigned courses Average ratings (less than 3.0) on student evaluations across half of courses Available to advise students, as documented in candidate's summary Participation in curriculum development at the program- or department-level Service on master's/specialist	Teaching/Advising Good teaching as evidenced by at least three of the following: Development of well organized, comprehensive syllabi for all assigned courses Consistently average ratings (at least 3.50) on student evaluations across a majority of courses Available to advise students, as demonstrated in candidate's summary Participation in curriculum development at the program- or	Excellent teaching as evidenced by at least four of the following: Development of well organized, comprehensive syllabi for all assigned courses Adoption of innovative instructional strategies, as documented in candidate's summary Consistently above average ratings (at least 3.75) on student evaluations across a majority of courses Consistently available to advise	Superior teaching as evidenced by at least five of the following: Development of well organized, comprehensive syllabi for all assigned courses Development of "new" course(s) or significant redesign of existing course(s) for programs in ELPS Adoption of innovative instructional strategies, as documented in candidate's summary Consistently superior ratings			
	or doctoral	department-level	students, as	(above 4.0) on			
	committees	□ Service on	documented in	student			
		master's/specialist	candidate's	evaluations across			

				 Chair master's/specialist and/or doctoral committees. Service as coordinator of degree program
		Scholarship/Creativity	Ι=	
Faculty member meets less than one of the expectations outlined in the cell to the right of column	Contributions to the professional literature as evidenced by one of the following, for example: Author of 1 peerreviewed publication (with or without collaborators) or equivalent (e.g., completion of book chapter) At least 1 local, state, regional, or national presentation	Worthy contributions to the professional literature as evidenced by two of the following, for example: Contributing author for the development of at least one internal or external research grant Author of at least 2 peer-reviewed publications (with or without collaborators) or equivalent (e.g., completion of book chapter) At least 2 local, state, regional, or national presentations	Exceptional contributions to the professional literature as evidenced by two of the following, for example: Initiates/submits application for at least one internal or external research grant Author of at least 2 peer-reviewed publications (with or without collaborators) or equivalent (e.g., completion of book chapter) at least one of which is a primary authorship At least 2 national presentations, and a third local, state,	Extraordinary contributions to the professional literature as evidenced by two of the following, for example: Initiates/submits application for at least two internal or external grant or serves as principal investigator of grant Author of 3 or more peer- reviewed publications (with or without collaborators) or equivalent (e.g., completion of book chapter, chapter in research handbook,

			regional, or national presentations	etc.), at least one of which is a sole authorship At least 2 national, plus 2 local, state, regional, or national presentations Substantial work on technical report or scientific abstract
		Service		
Faculty member meets less than one of the expectations outlined in the cell to the right of column	A record of service as evidenced by one of the following: Involvement in department, college, university, OR community service Service to at least 1 state, regional, or national organization OR professional associations Serve as a reviewer for at least one regional or national journal OR one national	A record of service as evidenced by two of the following: Involvement in department, college, university, OR community service Service to at least 1 state, regional, or national organization OR professional associations Serve as a reviewer for at least one regional or national journal OR one national	Substantial service involvement as evidenced by three of the following: Leadership in department, college, university OR community service Leadership in or service to at least 2 state, regional, or national organizations OR professional associations Serve as a reviewer for at least one regional,	Exemplary service involvement as evidenced by four of the following: Leadership in department, college, university OR community service Leadership in or service to at least 2 state, regional, or national organizations OR professional associations Serve as a reviewer for at least one regional,

			ational or ternational
	jou	ırnal <u>OR</u> one jo	urnal <u>OR</u> one ational
	org	ganization or	ganization