## Errata and Clarifications for

## Incomparable Values: Analysis, Axiomatics, and Applications

## Corrections in red are substantive <br> Please report additional issues to John Nolt (nolt@utk.edu)

| Page | Location on page | Correction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Near end of section 1.2 | Change "they are so vague as to preclude the truth or falsity of sentences that contain them" to "they are vague enough to lack truth value." |
| 27 | $7^{\text {th }}$ line of note 1 | Change "stronger" to "related" |
| 53 | Center of page | Change "point of reflection point" to "point of reflection." |
| 69 | T3.21 | Change " $x-y$ " to " $x--y$ " |
| 70 | $5^{\text {th }}$ line of sec. 3.10 | Change "latter" to "former" |
| 101 | Caption of Figure <br> 5.3 | Change "x twist" to "-x-twist," signifying a twist in the negative-x direction. |
| 125 | $1^{\text {st }}$ full paragraph after initial quote | Change "concepts" to "comparison relations". For clarity's sake, the same substitution should be made several places below on this page. (Thanks to Thomas Adajian for this correction.) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 135- \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | Middle of both pages | The labels 1, 2, 3, and 4, should be placed within parentheses |
| 137 | First line of last full paragraph | "equivalence (1) and (2)" should be "equivalence of (1) and (2)" |
| 138 | $10^{\text {th }}$ line of section $6.6$ | "amount to" should be "yield a conception of" |
| 141 | 3 lines from bottom | Change "groups" to "group" |
| 142 | $3^{\text {rd }}$ line of section $7.3$ | Insert the word "in" after "welfare" |
| 145 | $5^{\text {th }}$ line | "another" should not be italicized |
| 158 | Definition of strict Pareto superiority | The phrase "not worse" should be struck through, like this: not worse |
| 164 | Last sentence before T7.21 | Replace with this sentence: "Thus we can ignore all individuals not in $p o p(s)$ and also those in $p o p(s)$ whose welfare is 0. ." |
| 168 | First two lines | Change the phrase "better than" (both occurrences) to "at least as good as" |
| 170 | $11^{\text {th }}$ line from bottom | " $\mathrm{t}\{\alpha\}$ " should be " $\mathrm{t}_{\{\alpha\}}$ " |
| 182 | Next to last line of $1^{\text {st }}$ full paragraph | Period following the word "zero" should be a question mark. |
| 195 | $5^{\text {th }}$ line of $1^{\text {st }}$ full paragraph | The word "did" should be "didn't" |


| Page | Location on page | Correction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 211 | Last line of first paragraph | Change "proliferate" to "abound" |
| 213 | Equation just above middle of page | Add ", where $n=p o p(s)$ ". [val(s) is therefore the pair consisting of the total and average welfare values for pop(s).] |
| 221 | T9.2 | Omit space after negation sign |
| 236 | After proof of T9.17 | There should be more space before the line that begins "In the special case $n=1^{\prime \prime}$ to indicate that the proof has ended. |
| 254 | In proof of T10.10 | After the phrase "is a subset of $V_{0}$ that is not bounded above" insert the phrase "by any member of $V_{0}$." |
| 259 | First line after definition of Satis | Insert "the" before the word "background". [The background is the entire Cartesian model; see p. 257.] |
| 262-3 | Last three paragraphs of the section | I argue here that for any two incomparable values, we can always find an adequacy standard that favors the one we like best. Generalizing, it can be shown that for any finite set of unexcelled and mutually incomparable values, there is an adequacy standard that classifies only the members of that set as adequate. |
| 290 | $6^{\text {th }}$ line | Insert "for" after "formula" |
| 295 | Large quotation | "Keeny" should be "Keeney" |
| 296 | Line 5 of 1st full paragraph | "unreliable" should be "reliable" |
| 296 | Line 6 of $2^{\text {nd }}$ full paragraph | Insert comma after "them" |
| 306 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ line before <br> Definition 11.1 | $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ should be $\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ |
| 307 | Near bottom | Change <br> "but it is fairly obvious that none of these is $c$ either. Here" to <br> "and so it is not a full arithmetical model, but here" |
| 338 | End of $2^{\text {nd }}$ full paragraph | Add "(See T12.20.)" |
| 348 | $4^{\text {th }}$ line following proof of T12.17 | Change "their bounds" to "the bounds of finite ones". |
| 355 | Immediately following the proof of T12.25 | Omit the phrase "On that same assumption" and capitalize the following word "The". |
| 385 | Last line before the listing of $n$-tuples | Omit plus sign before the numeral 8 |
| 416 | Middle of $2^{\text {nd }}$ full paragraph | Replace the wording " 1 is infinite relative to $i-$ infinite, we may say" with "-i is infinitesimal relative to 1 -infinitesimal, we may say" |

