# Ultracold Neutrons as Probes for Neutron-Antineutron Oscillations









A.R.Young NCState University



# Outline

- What are ultracold neutrons?
- An N-Nbar experiment with ultracold neutrons
- Some source development background
- sources of UCN and achievable limits
- "Staged approaches" to N-Nbar experiments and opportunities for creativity



# Another Possibility: Ultracold Neutrons?

- UCN : K.E. < V<sub>Fermi</sub> ≤ 340 neV reflect, for any angle of incidence, from some material surfaces→can be stored for times comparable to the β-decay lifetime in material bottles!
- Materials with high V<sub>Fermi</sub> : Diamond-like carbon → V<sub>F</sub> ≤ 300 neV
   <sup>58</sup>Ni →V<sub>F</sub> ≤ 340 neV
- A number of very strong UCN sources are coming on line in the next 5-6 years

Another way of thinking about it...

The UCN experiences an effective potential,  $U_{eff}$ , due to its interaction with nuclei on the surface...





If the kinetic energy of the UCN is less than the effective potential barrier at the surface, the UCN will be reflected for <u>any</u> angle of incidence...

One can store UCN in material bottles for <u>minutes</u> at a time!



### UCN Energy Scales

Energy of UCN moving 8 m/sec: 340 neV (nano-eV)  $\approx 3.6$  mK

Energy of UCN in 1T magnetic field:  $\pm 60$  neV

Energy change associated with a 1 m rise: 104 neV

→implications for optimized design of N-Nbar... UCN can be polarized and stored using magnetic fields Typical UCN can bounce no higher than about 3m!



### UCN Experiments Have a Unique Feel...

#### Lifetime Experiment (courtesy of A. Serebrov)



Rotating Tube = UCN Energy Spectrometer!

Courtesy of E. Korobkina

Giant "Kettle" to measure lifetime: Kettle rotated to pour UCN out in stages..

# NNbar with UCN



Box filled with UCN gas...many samples/neutron longer average flight times (~1/3 sec) large neutron current required



### Pros and Cons



#### Advantages:

- No long, shielded beamline required: more compact
- Sources soon available: much less expensive
- Same ability to turn "on" and "off" effect w/magnetic field
- Almost "hermetic" detection of annhilation events

#### **Disadvantages:**

Limits less stringent than those obtained with CN beam geometry



### **Possible UCN sources**

• ILL: 3x10<sup>6</sup> UCN/s available now

#### • Potentially competitive SD<sub>2</sub> sources:

- PULSTAR reactor w/ 3.5 MW upgrade: 1.2x10<sup>7</sup> UCN/s
- PSI (10-20 kW spallation target– 1 MW peak): 5x10<sup>9</sup> in closecoupled storage volume, every 4 to 8 minutes; operation in 2011
- FRM II reactor (24 MW): perhaps 4×10<sup>7</sup> UCN/s; begin operation roughly 2012 (project funded 2007)

#### • LHe superthermal sources

- TRIUMF (5-10 kW spallation target; 50 kW peak): 5x10<sup>7</sup> UCN/s
- Dedicated 1.9K source (200 kW): 3.3x10<sup>8</sup> UCN/s

## Solid Deuterium: UCN Source Development

- Development of the UCNA solid deuterium source at LANL
- A follow-up: the PULSTAR solid deuterium source on NCSU campus



# SD<sub>2</sub> Source Development: UCNA

- First angular correlations in polarized n beta-decay using UCN (P effectively 100%, negl. n backgrounds)
- First experiment to implement a spallation-driven SD<sub>2</sub> source and understand lifetime of UCN in SD<sub>2</sub>
  - High production rate in SD<sub>2</sub>, but UCN lifetime relatively short
  - 5K operation, large heat cap makes cryogenics straightforward



# UCNA Collaboration

California Institute of Technology

R. Carr, B. Filippone, K. Hickerson, J. Liu, M. Mendenhall, R. Schmid, B. Tipton, J. Yuan

Institute Lau-Langevin

P. Geltenbort

Idaho State University

R. Rios, E. Tatar

Los Alamos National Laboratory

J. Anaya, T. J. Bowles (co-spokesperson), R. Hill, G. Hogan, T. Ito, K. Kirch, S. Lamoreaux, M. Makela, R. Mortenson, C. L. Morris, A. Pichlmaier, A. Saunders, S. Seestrom, W. Teasdale

#### North Carolina State University/TUNL/Princeton

H. O. Back, L. Broussard, A. T. Holley, R. K. Jain, C.-Y. Liu, R. W. Pattie, K. Sabourov, D. Smith, A. R. Young (co-spokesperson), Y.-P. Xu

Texas A&M University

Students in green Underlined students from TUNL

D. Melconian

University of Kentucky

**B**. Plaster

University of Washington



A. Garcia, <u>S. Hoedl</u>, A. Sallaska, S. Sjue, C. Wrede

University of Winnipeg

J. Martin

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

R. R. Mammei, M. Pitt, R. B. Vogelaar

#### Major-System Status:

Possible analyzer magnet – availability/funding not yet determined



#### Loading the Decay Volume: SD<sub>2</sub> source facility

• 2005: no decays

replaced horizontal guides w/ SS

• 2006: 2 s<sup>-1</sup>

current:  $<1\mu A \rightarrow 2\mu A$ , improved flapper

• 2007: 6 s<sup>-1</sup>

current:  $\rightarrow 4\mu A$ , source volume 2I

• 2008: 15 s<sup>-1</sup>

guides changed to DLC-coated EP Cu, geom C

• 2009: >30 s<sup>-1</sup>

Equivalent to typical ILL expt!

new target and improved upstream of AFP

• 2010: <60 s<sup>-1</sup>

Looks promising!



#### UCN Source and Transport

• Prototype source set world record for UCN production

• Developed polarization preserving diamondlike carbon-coated guide tubes 95% transmission per meter – some of the best guides available



# Key UCNA SD<sub>2</sub> Prototype Results



 $\tau_{para} = 1.2 \pm .14 \text{ (stat)} \pm .20 \text{ (syst) ms}$ 

C. L. Morris *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 272501 (2002) confirmed: F. Atchison et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 182502 (2005)

#### $\rho_{UCN} \rightarrow 145 \pm 7 \; UCN/cm^3$

A. Saunders *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **593**, 55 (2004)
confirmed: F. Atchison et al., Phys. Rev. C. **71**, 054601 (2005)
Recent update: C.-Y. Liu *et al.*, ArXiv:1005.1016v1

Diamondlike Carbon Films

 $300 \text{ neV} > V_{\text{fermi}} > 270 \text{ neV}$ , specularity > 99%, lpb <  $10^{-4}$ 

PhD Thesis: Mark Makela

#### **Technical Aside on Production**

Using  $SD_2$  as a generic example:

The UCN production rate from cold neutrons with energy E' is proportional to

$$\left(\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\Omega dE'}\right)_{J\rightarrow J'}^{1\ phonon} = \frac{k'}{k} \frac{\hbar^{2}\kappa^{2}}{2M_{D_{2}}} e^{-2W(\kappa)} \mathcal{S}_{JJ'}(2J'+1)$$

$$\times \sum_{n} \left(\frac{\hbar\kappa^{2}}{2M_{D_{2}}\omega}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{l=|J'-J|}^{J'+J} |A_{nl}|^{2} \mathcal{C}^{2}(JJ'l;00)$$

$$\times \left(\frac{Z(E_{ph})}{E_{ph}}\right) \left\{\begin{array}{c}n(E_{ph})+1 & \text{if } E_{ph} >= 0\\n(E_{ph}) & \text{if } E_{ph} < 0,\end{array}\right. Favors low mass species$$

Favors low Debye T materials with good overlap with CN distribution (T  $\sim 20 - 30$  K)



#### **UCN Production**

Inelastic scattering is dominated (He and ortho-Deuterium) by interactions with phonons



#### **Achieving the Limiting Density**

UCNs accumulate until the destruction rate in the source  $(N_{ucn}/\tau)$  = the production rate ( R )

$$N_{ucn}/\tau = R$$

At this point, volume of bottle is full of UCNs with density N<sub>ucn</sub>

$$N_{ucn} = R\tau$$

Lifetime of UCNs in source, is a critical parameter in the establishment of large UCN densities



### Superthermal Source Candidates

#### Need very low neutron capture cross-sections!

Isotope	$\sigma_{coh}(barns)$	$\sigma_{inc}(barns)$	$\sigma_a(barns)$	$\sigma_s/\sigma_a$	purity(%)	Debye $T(K)$
<sup>4</sup> D	5.59	2.04	0.000519	$1.47 \times 10^{4}$	99.82	110
<sup>4</sup> He	1.13	0	0	$\infty$	100	20
$^{15}\mathrm{N}$	5.23	0.0005	0.000024	$2.1 \times 10^{5}$	99.9999	80
$^{16}O$	4.23	0	0.00010	$2.2 \times 10^{4}$	99.95	104
<sup>208</sup> Pb	11.7	0	0.00049	$2.38 \times 10^{4}$	99.93	105

Table 7.1: Candidates for a superthermal source[8].

- He has (by far) longest absorption time: high densities accessible
- $D_2$  has larger cross-sections: higher production rates accessible (good for flow-through experiments, such as the one we plan for LANL)

#### Prototype Source Key Results

Measured for the first time: UCN lifetime in SD<sub>2</sub>



Very high densities achieved



Compare to previous record for bottled UCN of 41 UCN/cm<sup>3</sup> (at ILL)



# **PULSTAR Source Collaboration**

• NCState Physics Department:



- R. Golub, P. Huffman, A. R. Young and graduate students, C. Cottrell, G. R. Palmquist, Y.-P. Xu
- NCState Nuclear Engineering Department:

B. W. Wehring, A. Hawari, E. Korobkina

• PULSTAR technical staff

A. Cook, K. Kincaid, G. Wicks

Local research groups with overlapping interests:



- H. Gao
- T. Clegg (weak interactions res.)



# NCSU PULSTAR Source Schematic



- 1 MW (funding for 2 MW upgr)
- Floodable Helium Nose Port
- Heavy Water
   Thermal Moderator
- <sup>58</sup>Ni-coated guides

UCN extracted from  $SD_2 = 3 \times 10^6$  UCN/s





SD<sub>2</sub>nominally 4 cm thick

How do we model transport?



Preliminary results for base case (annhilation det eff = 1, 1 year running):

NCState geometry, 4 cm thick SD2, 18 cm guides, 0.050s SD<sub>2</sub> lifetime, model storable UCN

Primary flux: 1.2 x 10<sup>7</sup> (below 305 neV) -- 3.5 MW Box loading efficiency: 30% 325s avg. residency in experiment Best case: diffuse walls, specular floor

discovery potential =  $2.3 \times 10^9$  Ns  $\tau_{nn} > 1.1 \times 10^8$  s

# Ultimate Reach with PULSTAR

- "straightforward gains"
  - 4 years of running
    - τ<sub>nn</sub>> 2.2x10<sup>8</sup> s
- "speculative gains"
  - Multiple reflections (x1-4) Serebrov and Fomin; coherent n amplitude enhancement (x2) Golub and Yoshiki
  - Compound parabolic concentrators in floor
  - Optimized, higher "m" wall coatings
  - Solid oxygen source (C.-Y. Liu)
  - Solid nitrogen source (interesting!)
  - Larger vessel (requires modifications to facility)



### The Solid Deuterium Source at PSI



Total beam power 10 kW: 540 MeV beam 2mA 1% duty cycle (8s/800s)

Commissioning runs began Dec. 2010

Limiting densities about 1000 UCN/cm<sup>3</sup>



#### Systematic studies of the PSI UCN source optimized for NNbar by A. Serebrov and V. Fomin



Mode of operation: beam pulsed w/ valve open, then valve closed and UCN stored in system (can, in principle, accumulate)





# Masuda: scaling RCNP He Source

Operating a prototype at the RCNP – basis for TRIUMF source



390 W spallation target 4x10<sup>4</sup> UCN/s T < 0.9 K BUT Surprise: UCN lifetime still > 1s at 2K! Makes high pressure, subcooled He source

possible

### UCN production rate in He-II for NNbar

1.2x10<sup>6</sup> UCN/30 s (present exp) x 512 x 2 x 8 x 1.3 (200kW) (horizontal) (D<sub>2</sub>) (E<sub>c</sub> 250neV) = 4.2 x 10<sup>8</sup> UCN/s >> 1.2×10<sup>7</sup> UCN/s for T<sub>NNbar</sub> 3×10<sup>9</sup> s ?

> Production rate predicted 3.3 x 10<sup>8</sup> UCN/s

 $2x10^{-9} \Phi_n / \text{cm}^3/\text{s} \times \text{V} \text{cm}^3$  (V: He-II volume) by Golub  $\Phi_n = 1.7 \times 10^{13} (n/\text{cm}^2/\text{s})$  for V =  $10^4 (\text{cm}^3)$  by Monte Carlo

Shielding scheme can increase volume

At 200 kW (CW), have 100 W of gamma heating (from MCNP) subcooled superfluid He at 1.8-1.9 K in source two-phase driven flow to refrigerator/liquifier... should be possible!



- PULSTAR (1.0 MW): τ<sub>nn</sub>> 1.3x10<sup>8</sup> s
- PULSTAR (3.5 MW), optimized:  $\tau_{nn}$  > 2.2x10<sup>8</sup> s
- SuperK: τ<sub>nn</sub> > 2.7x10<sup>8</sup> s
- FRM II:  $\tau_{nn} > 4x10^8$  s (perhaps more)
- TRIUMF: τ<sub>nn</sub>> 4.5x10<sup>8</sup> s
- PSI: τ<sub>nn</sub>> 6.1x10<sup>8</sup> s
- 1.9K Superfluid He:  $\tau_{nn} > 1.2 \times 10^9$  s
- Vertical CN beam: τ<sub>nn</sub> > 3.5x10<sup>9</sup> s

These are very Interesting!

# Staged Approach?

(1) Develop UCN experiment:

- Couple 10-20I LHe volume to cold source
- 1.9K, high pressure, superfluid UCN converter with mixed phase coupling to cryogenics
- Modernize detector approach
- Either reactor or spallation target possible
- (2) Construct shielded beamline and perform CN experiment
  - Keep cold source and detector modules
  - Perhaps also keep UCN converter is slower component of neutrons useful (vertical geom)



# Creativity?

- For UCN experiment
  - CPC arrays to increase average flight time
  - Static fields to produce multi-bounce enhancements
  - New source materials (<sup>15</sup>N)
- For CN experiment
  - Make staged experiment
  - Use UCN in source for vertical geometry



Intensity "frontier" for CN sources ~1 MW

 $\rightarrow$ 0.75 MW already demonstrated at the SINQ cold source

100 kW target operated at Los Alamos (LANSCE)

 $\rightarrow$ Compatible with both cold and ultracold NNbar experiments (shielding approach required for UCN)

 $\rightarrow$ Results in even greater sensitivity improvements!

### Conclusions

- Motivation is strong for  $N\overline{N}$
- Modest improvements (at least) in the free neutron oscillation time possible at various existing or planned UCN sources
- Stronger planned sources could be utilized for significant improvements in sensitivity to NN-bar oscillations
- The vertical CN source geometry appears to be the most sensitive approach, however it may be possible to adopt a staged approach to experimental development with significant improvements at each step!